

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,075	075 10/03/2003		Steven Durham	40120-10024	3549
21788	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
RYNDAK			A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
200 W MADISON STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2100			ARTONII	PAFER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	2	3637		

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-0.5	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/679,075	DURHAM, STEVEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phi D. A	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Octoor</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the composition of the composition	election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the E					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	and and only	. 13.37 37 13.111 1 1 102.				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ul> <li>(1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>(2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>(3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04</li> </ul>	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	e				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,075 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a shelter, classified in class 52, subclass 28.
  - II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a system/method for generating electricity from parking lot, classified in class 136, subclass 201.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the parking area that is claimed serves a distinctive function not required of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a solar panel, which is supported elevated on the rooftop.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,075

Art Unit: 3637

5. Claims 1-20 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising specie I to figure 1, and specie II to figure 10. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. During a telephone conversation with James Ryndak on 2/8/06 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I figure 1, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 line 3 "within the device" is indefinite as it is unclear which device is being claimed. is it the first or second device?

Application/Control Number: 10/679,075 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dinwoodie (D408554).

Dinwoodie shows a shelter without walls comprising a canopy having a width and a length defining a sheltered area, a supporting structure connected to and supporting the canopy and permitting substantially unobstructed access to the sheltered area, a photovoltaic device capable of producing an electrical current when exposed to a light source, the device associated with the canopy to produce electrical current from sunlight, an electrical load operatively connected to the device (inherently so as the electricity generated is to be used with a load), the device is contained in the canopy, the device forming the canopy, the canopy having an upper surface and an underside.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 1-5, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D192723 in view of Laaly et al (4860509) applied to claim 5 above and further in view of Albright et al (5674325)

D192723 shows a shelter without walls comprising a canopy having a width and a length defining a sheltered area, a supporting structure connected to and supporting the canopy and permitting substantially unobstructed access to the sheltered area.

D192723 does not show a photovoltaic device capable of producing an electrical current when exposed to a light source, the device associated with the canopy to produce electrical current from sunlight, an electrical load operatively connected to the device, a light emitting coating and the device is capable of generating electricity from the light emitted by the light emitting coating.

Laaly et al discloses a photovoltaic device (figure 2-3) capable of producing an electrical current when exposed to a light source, the device associated with the canopy(10) to produce electrical current from sunlight, an electrical load (structures that use the electricity) operatively connected to the device, the device being formed of crystalline photovoltaic systems (col 6 line 63), multiple layers of flexible thin film photovoltaic systems (col 8 line 46), a light emitting coating (14) and the device is capable of generating electricity from the light emitted by the light emitting coating.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify D192723's structure to show a photovoltaic device capable of producing an electrical current when exposed to a light source, the device associated with the canopy to produce electrical current from sunlight, an electrical load operatively connected to the device, a

light emitting coating and the device is capable of generating electricity from the light emitted by the light emitting coating because it would enable the canopy to produce electricity for lighting structures as taught by Laaly et al.

Page 6

Per claims 2-5, 13, D192723 as modified shows the device being supported by the canopy, the device is contained in the canopy, the device forming the canopy, the device is selected consisting of crystalline photovoltaic systems, flexible thin film photovoltaic systems, a light emitting coating (14) and the device is capable of generating electricity from the light emitted by the light emitting coating.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinwoodie in view 13. of Pat et al (6423894).

Dinwoodie shows all the claimed limitations except for a second photovoltaic device directed toward the ground.

Pat et al discloses a first and second photovoltaic device (multiple layers of films 28), the second layer being directed toward the ground (closer to the ground).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dinwoodie's structure to show a second photovoltaic device directed toward the ground because it would enable the more efficient collection of solar energy and converting into electrical energy as taught by Pat et al.

14. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinwoodie in view of Pat et al (6423894) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Kowalski (5570000)

Dinwoodie as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for an artificial light source being affixed to the underside or dispersed within the device.

Kowalski discloses an artificial light source (42) being affixed to the underside of a canopy structure.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dinwoodie's modified structure to show an artificial light source being affixed to the underside or dispersed within the device because it would enable the lighting of the area beneath the canopy as taught by Kowalski.

15. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D192723 in view of Laaly et al (4860509) applied to claim 5 above and further in view of Albright et al (5674325)

D192723 as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the photovoltaic device being transparent.

Albright et al discloses photovoltaic device being transparent (col 6 lines 23-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify D192723's modified structure to show the photovoltaic device being transparent because it would enable the light to pass through the film transparent film layer to reach the semiconductor layer to enable the generation of electricity as taught by Albright et al.

Per claim 9, D192723 as modified show the device being composed of multiple layers of flexible thin film transparent photovoltaic material.

16. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D192723 in view of Laaly et al (4860509) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Schoniger et al (4903172)

D192723 as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for a light emitting diode associate with the photovoltaic device, the LED being capable of displaying human readable information.

Page 8

Schoniger et al (figure 1, col 3 line 42) shows a light emitting diode associate with the photovoltaic device, the LED being capable of displaying human readable information

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify D192723's modified structure to show a light emitting diode associate with the photovoltaic device, the LED being capable of displaying human readable information because it would allow for the economical and easy display of advertising information as taught by Schoniger et al

17. Claims 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D192723 in view of Laaly et al (4860509) and Schoniger et al as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of Kruangam (5656823).

D192723 as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the light emitting diode being a flexible thin film emitting diode.

Kruangam discloses the light emitting diode being a flexible thin film emitting diode.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify D192723's modified structure to show the light emitting diode being a flexible thin film emitting diode because it would enable the emitting of light ranges from infrared to the visible regions of the electromagnetic spectrum and thus able to display colors of the visible light as taught by Kruangam

18. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D192723 in view of Laaly et al (4860509) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Robbins (5107637)

D192723 as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the load being selected from the group consisting of the power distribution grid of a utility company and a battery, the battery being operatively connected to a light, which illuminates the sheltered area.

Robbins shows a load consisting of a battery, the battery being operatively connected to a light, which illuminates the sheltered area.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify D192723's modified structure to show the load being selected from the group consisting of the power distribution grid of a utility company and a battery, the battery being operatively connected to a light which illuminates the sheltered area because using battery to store collected energy to illuminate a sheltered area would enable the lighting of a sheltered area at night without having to utilize power from power plants as taught by Robbins.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different photovoltaic device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi'Dieu Tran A

2/10/06